Unravelling the Origins of Contact Recombination for Localized Laser-Doped Contacts

Marco Ernst^{1,*}, Jonas D. Huyeng^{1,2}, Daniel Walter¹, Kean Chern Fong¹, and Andrew Blakers¹ ¹Centre of Sustainable Energy Systems, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia (*marco.ernst@anu.edu.au) ²Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems (ISE), Heidenhofstrasse 2, 79110 Freiburg, Germany

Develop methodology and experiments for separating the edge and area contributions to the lumped recombination parameter of localized contacts

Methodology

- **1** Varying edge-to-area ratio ($\propto 1/s$) of localized contacts
- **2** Determine **lumped** recombination parameter *j*_{0,ave} of localized contacts
- **3** Extract **edge recombination** $j_{0,e}$ and area recombination $j_{0,a}$ from slope and y-intercept of $j_{0,ave} \propto 1/s$

Assumptions

 \bullet Area-proportional contribution of inner area $j_{0,a}$ and edge area $j_{0,e}$ to lumped recombination $j_{0,ave}$ with $\Delta j_0 = j_{0,e} - j_{0,ave}$ $a^2 \qquad s^2 - a^2 \qquad s \qquad 1$

$$j_{0,\text{ave}} = j_{0,\text{a}} \frac{a}{s^2} + j_{0,\text{e}} \frac{s}{s^2} = j_{0,\text{a}} + 2e\Delta j_0 \frac{s}{s^2} - e^2\Delta j_0 \frac{1}{s^2}$$

- \bullet Total edge width $e = 4 \pm 2 \mu m$ (estimated from micro photoluminescence spectroscopy measurements)
- Linear approximation assuming $e \ll s$

Experiment

Description Localized contacts with varying edge-to-area ratio fabricated by two-step laser process: dope / passivate / ablate (248-nm Excimer flat-top laser beam with variable aperture mask)



Microscope image illustrating the variation of laser-doping size after lasercontact opening confined to a region smaller than the laser-doped areas.

- Samples with regular pattern of localized contacts, varying edge-to-area ratio (contact size) for constant contact fraction f and two laser parameters ϕ
- Ocombination of calibrated photoluminescence imaging



Results

Fit of measured j_{0.ave} using linear approximation



• Due to uncertainty in *e* we determine the edge recombination using the implicit relation

$$j_{0,e} \equiv j_{0,e}(e) = j_{0,a} + \frac{k}{2e}$$

Fluence ϕ	f	Area rec. j _{0,a}	Slope <i>k</i>	Edge rec. j _{0,e}
(J/cm ²)	(%)	(fA/cm ²)	(fA/cm ² mm)	(fA/cm ²)
1.3	12	3,340*	-	-
1.3	27	4,170*	-	-
2.1	7	2,285 ± 115	72.7 ± 5.5	9,550 ± 4,480
2.1	12	2,658 ± 182	55.1 ± 8.7	11,370 ± 5,130
2.1	12	3,206 ± 132	51.2 ± 6.3	9,610 ± 4,600
2.1	27	3,145 ± 155	61.0 ± 7.4	10,770 ± 5,030

*Mean value of j_{0.ave}

and three-dimensional numerical simulations to extract j_{0,ave} of localized contacts [1]



Counts (1/s) PL image of local laserdoped contacts. The different processed squareshaped areas feature different laser spot sizes, pitches and laser fluences. The bottom right reference quarter is used for calibrating the method using QSSPC measurements.

Note that the laser doping process has not been optimized in this experiment.

Conclusion

- Varying the edge-to-area ratio combined with measuring lumped recombination parameters of localized contacts enables separation of edge and area contributions
- Edge-recombination exceeds area-recombination by a factor greater than 3 to 4
- Further analysis required (e.g. by micro PL spectroscopy) to accurately determine edge width e





This work has been supported by the Australian Government through the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA). Responsibility for the views, information or advice expressed herein is not accepted by the Australian Government.



References

[1] M. Ernst et al., IEEE J. Photovoltaics 7 (2), 471-478 (2017). Download the poster and paper under http://users.cecs.anu.edu.au/~marco.ernst/

037